



<b>OUR REFERENCE</b> 22/13035	<b>YOUR REFERENCE</b>	<b>DATE</b> 08.05.2023
----------------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------

Dear 

## Decision on violation penalty

Reference is made to the Advance notification of violation penalty dated 8 March 2023 from The Financial Supervisory Authority of Norway ("Finanstilsynet"), reply dated 29 March 2023 from  and other correspondence.

The case concerns  sale of shares in Flyr AS ("Flyr") (ISIN NO0010931900) on 17 November 2022.

Based on the information available, Finanstilsynet finds that  violated the Regulation (EU) No 236/2012 on short selling and certain aspects of credit default swaps ("SSR"), cf. Section 3-5 of the Norwegian Securities Trading Act ("NSTA").

Against this background Finanstilsynet has decided to impose a violation penalty of NOK 50 000, cf. Sections 21-2 and 21-9 of NSTA.

### 1. Legal basis

SSR applies to financial instruments admitted to trading on a multilateral trading facility ("MHF") where Finanstilsynet is the "relevant competent authority", cf. SSR Article 2 No 1 j). At the time  sale of shares in Flyr took place, the shares in Flyr were admitted to trading on Euronext Growth (Oslo). SSR is thus applicable.

Article 2 paragraph 1 (b) of SSR defines "short sale" in relation to a share as *"any sale of the share which the seller does not own at the time of entering into the agreement to sell including such a sale where at the time of entering into the agreement to sell the seller has borrowed or agreed to borrow the share [...] for delivery at settlement, not including:*

- (i) *a sale by either party under a repurchase agreement where one party has agreed to sell the other a security at a specified price with a commitment from the other party to sell the security back at a later date at another specified price;*
- (ii) *a transfer of securities under a securities lending agreement; or*
- (iii) *entry into a futures contract or other derivative contract where it is agreed to sell securities at a specified price at a future date."*

Article 12 of SSR sets out restrictions on the uncovered short sale of shares. Article 12 no 1 reads as follows:

*"A natural or legal person may enter into a short sale of a share admitted to trading on a trading venue only where one of the following conditions is fulfilled:*

- a) the natural or legal person has borrowed the share or has made alternative provisions resulting in a similar legal effect;*
- b) the natural or legal person has entered into an agreement to borrow the share or has another absolutely enforceable claim under contract or property law to be transferred ownership of a corresponding number of securities of the same class so that settlement can be effected when it is due;*
- c) the natural or legal person has an arrangement with a third party under which that third party has confirmed that the share has been located and has taken measures vis-à-vis third parties necessary for the natural or legal person to have a reasonable expectation that settlement can be effected when it is due".*

Pursuant to Section 21-2, cf. Section 21-5 third to fifth paragraphs of NSTA, Finanstilsynet may, in the event of a violation of rules in the SSR on uncovered short sales, impose a violation penalty on natural persons of up to NOK 43 million. The violation penalty can be set at twice the profit achieved or loss avoided, if this results in a higher penalty.

The conditions for imposing a violation penalty are set out in Section 21-9 of NSTA. For natural persons, it follows from Section 21-9 first paragraph that a violation penalty may only be imposed for wilful or negligent violation.

It follows from Section 21-14 of NSTA that when deciding whether an administrative sanction is to be imposed and in the assessment of the penalty, a number of factors may be taken into account:

1. the gravity and duration of the violation,
2. the degree of culpability of the violator,
3. the violator's financial capability, in particular overall turnover or annual income and assets,
4. profit gained or losses avoided,
5. loss inflicted on any third party as a result of the violation,
6. willingness to cooperate with the authorities,
7. previous violations,

8. conditions as mentioned in the Public Administration Act section 46 second subsection
9. other relevant factors

## 2. Background

### 2.1 The private placement in Flyr

After a period of significant financial challenges, Flyr carried out a capital raise in the autumn of 2022. The company received new share capital through a private placement where selected investors were given the opportunity to subscribe for new shares in the company.

In a stock exchange announcement published on 10 November 2022 at 8:44 PM (CET) the company informed that through the private placement it had raised NOK 250 million by issuing 25 billion shares with a subscription price of NOK 0.01. The private placement was subject to approval by an extraordinary general meeting, which was to be held on 16 November 2022.

The stock exchange announcement stated, *inter alia*, the following:

*"First day of trading of the New Shares is expected to be on or about 17 November 2022, but not before the capital increase pertaining to the New Shares has been registered with the Norwegian Register of Business Enterprises ("the NRBE")."*

*Settlement of the New Shares is expected to take place on a delivery versus payment (DVP) basis on or about 21 November 2022. DVP settlement of the New Shares is expected to be facilitated by a prepayment agreement between the Company and the Managers, however, the allocated New Shares will not be delivered to the relevant applicant before the registration of the capital increase pertaining to the New Shares with the NRBE has taken place. The New Shares allocated to investors will be tradeable on Euronext Growth Oslo following a stock exchange announcement by the Company announcing the registration of the share capital increase pertaining to the New Shares in the NRBE".*

In a stock exchange announcement of 16 November 2022 at 12:17:16 PM (CET), Flyr disclosed that the company's extraordinary general meeting had approved the capital increase. In a stock exchange announcement of 17 November 2022 at 10:01:27 AM (CET), Flyr announced that the new share capital had been registered in the Register of Business Enterprises.

Finanstilsynet's investigations show that, prior to the registration of the increased share capital on 17 November, 1,2 billion shares in Flyr were sold. At this time, the share capital in the company amounted to 633,019,667 shares, in other words only about half of the number of shares traded that day.

### 2.2 [REDACTED] sale of shares

According to information available to Finanstilsynet, [REDACTED] was allocated with 50,000,000 shares in the private placement.

In the conditional allocation notice which [REDACTED] received from Carnegie AS (one of the investment firms acting as a bookrunner in the private placement), the "Trade date" was identified as "on or about" 17 November 2022 with settlement expected "on or about" 21 November 2022 (T+2).

On 17 November 2022 at 09:25 AM (CET) [REDACTED] sold a total of 25 000 000 shares in Flyr.

After the publication of the stock exchange announcement on 17 November about the registration of the capital increase, the price of the share fell significantly. [REDACTED] made a profit compared to those investors who waited to sell the shares until the capital increase had been registered.

Finanstilsynet has calculated [REDACTED] profit by taking as a starting point the price observed in the market after the stock exchange announcement about the capital increase was published (November 17 at 10:01), which is compared with the sale price achieved by [REDACTED]. The volume-weighted average price (VWAP) has been used to calculate a price estimate for the trade after the announcement of the capital increase was made public. The VWAP is calculated at 0.0094. VWAP has also been calculated on the short sales that [REDACTED] carried out. The difference between these is multiplied by the number of shares sold to calculate the profit.

Based on the calculation method mentioned above, Finanstilsynet estimates that [REDACTED] obtained the following profit by selling the shares before they were registered in the Register of Business Enterprises (in NOK):

Investor	Number of shares	VWAP before announcement	VWAP after announcement	Estimated profit
[REDACTED]	25 000 000	0.01000	0.00940	15 030

According to information available to Finanstilsynet, in a telephone conversation at 08:48 AM (CET) on 17 November, [REDACTED] was informed by [REDACTED] that the shares in Flyr would not be tradeable until a stock exchange announcement from Flyr confirmed that the shares had been registered with the Register of Business Enterprises. After [REDACTED] had sold 25 million shares, [REDACTED] received another call from [REDACTED] underlining that the shares were not yet tradeable. [REDACTED] immediately started to buy back shares. By 09:31 AM [REDACTED] had bought back 25 million shares in Flyr.

### 3. [REDACTED] comments

In an e-mail to Finanstilsynet 12 January 2023, [REDACTED] provided comments to Finanstilsynet's letter of 20 December 2022. In the e-mail, [REDACTED] stated *inter alia* the following about the sales of shares carried out in the morning on 17 November 2022:

"When the stock fell by volume from the morning's levels well above the subscription price down to the subscription price of 0,01 NOK and I at the same time saw some profile who wrote on twitter that it was now delivery of shares, I assumed that they were now tradeable and sold the 25 million shares at the subscription price 0,01 NOK ie for 250k NOK. When [REDACTED] subsequently informed me that this was not the case, I tried to do the right thing immediately and bought back all the shares within a few minutes at higher levels which meant a loss of NOK 33 182. Shares that I then sold significantly lower again when [REDACTED] about half an hour later confirmed that the shares were tradeable.

*I misjudged the situation but I immediately tried to correct it and I have only lost money on this deal."*

In an e-mail dated 29 March 2023, [REDACTED] provided comments to Finanstilsynet's Advance notification of violation penalty dated 8 March 2023. [REDACTED] considers a violation penalty of NOK 50 000 as a very hard penalty, however [REDACTED] intends to pay the violation penalty to put the matter behind [REDACTED]

#### **4. Finanstilsynet's assessment**

##### **4.1 The conditions for imposing a violation penalty**

###### The objective conditions

According to SSR Article 12 no 1, a natural or legal person may carry out a short sale of a share that is listed on a trading venue if the conditions in points a) to c) is fulfilled. If any of the conditions are not met, the short sale is prohibited.

Initially, a decision must be made as to whether there was a short sale.

As mentioned above, in Article 2 no. 1 b of SSR "short sale" is defined as any sale of a share which the seller does not "own" at the time the sale agreement is entered into.

When assessing whether there is a short sale, it is necessary to identify the point in time when the seller "owns" the shares. Sales made prior to this stage are considered short sales.

The time when the investor becomes the "owner" of the shares is not regulated in the SSR. It follows from Commission Delegated Regulation No. 918/2012, cf. the Norwegian Securities Trading Regulations Section 3-7, that this would be subject to national law.

Finanstilsynet considers that the term "owner" must be interpreted on the basis of the purpose of the provision. The assessment in connection with new issues will be that the shares "exist", i.e. that they are registered in the Register of Business Enterprises, and that there is sufficient evidence that the share ownership is such that rights can be exercised and that timely delivery can take place.

Various circumstances may indicate that an investor becomes "owner" of the shares at a later time than the time of registration, for example upon subsequent regulatory approval of a prospectus. Finanstilsynet cannot see that there are any such circumstances in this case.

Finanstilsynet therefore considers that [REDACTED] became "owner" of the new shares when the capital increase was registered in the Register of Business Enterprises, i.e. immediately before the publication of the stock exchange announcement on 17 November at 10:01 AM.

Sales of new shares in Flynr made before this point in time must therefore be regarded as short selling.

[REDACTED] has not made reference to any agreements or any other measures which, at the time of entering into the sales agreement, could provide sufficient evidence for the shares to be delivered on time. Based on the information available to Finanstilsynet, it seems clear that [REDACTED] intended to fulfill [REDACTED] delivery obligations with the "new" shares.

Finanstilsynet therefore assumes that the conditions in SSR article 12 a) to c) were not met. Consequently, the sales must be considered uncovered short sales in violation of Article 12.

The objective description in SSR Article 12 is thus fulfilled.

#### The subjective conditions

The question is whether the subjective conditions for an violation penalty has been met.

For natural persons, it follows from NSTA Section 21-9 first paragraph that a violation penalty may only be imposed for wilful or negligent violations. The degree of guilt may, however, be taken into consideration when assessing the size of the violation penalty, cfr. Section 4.2 below.

As mentioned, Finanstilsynet assumes that [REDACTED] had no cover in the form of borrowed shares or through any other measures, cf. SSR Article 12.

Finanstilsynet considers that it was clearly communicated to the market in a stock exchange announcement from the issuer that the shares would only be tradeable once the issue had been registered in the Register of Business Enterprises. This was also communicated from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED]

The starting point of Finanstilsynet's culpability assessment is that [REDACTED] is a professional operator in the securities market. It must be expected from such an investor, when subscribing shares in a private placement, to obtain knowledge about factual circumstances concerning the tradability of the shares. Professional investors must, as a minimum, familiarize themselves with information from the issuer communicated to the market and to the investor.

In addition to this general starting point, Finanstilsynet considers that account must be taken of specific circumstances in the case. The fact that 25 billion shares were issued in the private placement suggests that investors had to understand that there would be significant selling pressure – with potentially considerable price decrease – when the new shares were tradeable. This meant

that investors who wanted to sell the new shares had to ensure that the shares were actually tradable when placing a sales order.

In Finanstilsynet's assessment, [REDACTED] conduct deviates significantly from the normal prudent course of action for a professional investor in the securities market. In Finanstilsynet's opinion, the behavior constitutes gross negligence.

Finanstilsynet therefore considers that the subjective conditions for a violation penalty for a breach of SSR Article 12 has been met.

Finanstilsynet's assessment is that both the objective and subjective conditions for violation penalties have been met.

#### **4.2 Assessment of whether a violation penalty shall be imposed and the size of the penalty**

NSTA Section 21-14 and the Public Administration Act section 46 second subsection provide a number of various factors that may be taken into consideration when assessing whether a violation penalty shall be imposed and the size of the penalty. The overview of factors is non-exhaustive. Under any circumstances, the assessment must be made based on an overall evaluation in the specific case.

When assessing whether a violation penalty should be imposed, cf. NSTA Section 21-14, Finanstilsynet believes that the size of the trade and the degree of negligence indicate that an violation penalty is a proportionate and adequate response. Emphasis has also been placed on the fact that an illegal act was carried out at the same time as the vast majority of other investors loyally aligned themselves so that the newly issued shares could not be traded until they were registered in the Register of Business Enterprises. Such behaviour is likely to undermine confidence in the integrity of the securities markets. In this context, the Finanstilsynet refers to the purpose of the Act to facilitate safe, orderly and efficient trading in financial instruments and investor protection, cf. NSTA section 1-1.

It cannot be considered disproportionate that a violation penalty is imposed in this case. Finanstilsynet's assessment is therefore that a violation penalty should be imposed.

With regard to the assessment criteria in NSTA section 21-14, Finanstilsynet assumes that [REDACTED] obtained a profit, although limited, cf. the calculation above.

In the assessment, Finanstilsynet has also emphasized the size of the transaction. In addition, [REDACTED] carried out illegal actions at the same time as the vast majority of investors were loyal to the regulations. Such behaviour may undermine confidence in the securities market. Furthermore, Finanstilsynet has taken into consideration that gross negligence was shown.

Emphasis is also placed on [REDACTED] financial strength. Finanstilsynet does not have a detailed overview of [REDACTED] financial situation. However, taking into consideration that [REDACTED] was approached in the private placement as well as the number of allocated shares, it is assumed that [REDACTED] has a considerable financial strength.

In the assessment of the size of the penalty Finanstilsynet has put considerable weight on the fact that the settlement risk associated with the uncovered short sales was present for a limited period of time due to [REDACTED] buy-back of shares.

Finanstilsynet's assessment, based on the above and an overall evaluation of all relevant factors, is that the violation penalty should be set at NOK 50 000.

## **5. Decision on violation penalty**

Based on the circumstances above, Finanstilsynet has decided to impose a violation penalty of NOK 50,000 on [REDACTED] for breaching the prohibition against uncovered short sales in SSR Article 12, cf. NSTA Section 3-5.

The basis for imposing a violation penalty is NSTA Section 21-2 first paragraph.

This administrative decision can be appealed within 3 weeks after receipt. An appeal shall be sent to Finanstilsynet. The appellate instance is the Ministry of Finance. Sections 18 and 19 of the Public Administration Act, on the parties' right to become acquainted with the case documents, apply.

Violation penalties are collected by the Tax Administration at the Norwegian National Collection Agency. If the administrative decision is not appealed, the Norwegian National Collection Agency will send a claim for payment immediately after the deadline for an appeal has expired. If the decision is appealed, the claim is sent after the appeal has been decided by the Ministry of Finance. The Norwegian National Collection Agency's deadline for payment is 3 weeks after the invoice has been sent.

On behalf of Finanstilsynet

Anne Merethe Bellamy  
Director

Thomas Borchgrevink  
Head of Section

*This document has been electronically approved and does not require handwritten signatures.*